This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

☐ BLACK BORDERS
☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES:
☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
☐ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
OTHER:

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/850,301	05/07/2001	Mark A. Terribile	1	2198
7590 09/08/2004			EXAMINER	
Docket Administrator (Room 3C-512)			LIEN, TAN	
Lucent Technolo	ogies Inc.			
600 Mountain Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 636			2141	
Murray Hill, N.	J 07974-0636			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

*		(
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/850,301	TERRIBILE, MARK A.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Tan Lien	2141			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a re ply within the statutory minimum of thirt d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te. cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07</u>					
26,					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>26</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and the subject to restrict the subject to restri	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examination 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 May 2001</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the little of the little o	a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square object the drawing(s) be held in abeyart action is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	nts have been received. nts have been received in A iority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Art Unit: 2141

DETAILED ACTIONS

Claim Objections

Claim 26 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 26: The variable "m/q" is not introduced anywhere. The "m" should be "n" and variable "m/q" is changed to "n/q".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Peercy et al (US Patent 5,960,429) in view of Doyle (US PGPub 2002/0099807).

Claim(s) 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10: Peercy teaches a method for building a table having n entries to select r most frequently used Internet site names from m Internet site names that can be received at a resource delivery site, so that the resource delivery site can cache the corresponding resources from the r most frequently used Internet sites in advance where $r \le n$, the method comprising the steps of:

(a) receiving an Internet site name from a packet (col. 2, lines 19-25);

Art Unit: 2141

(b) storing the Internet site name (FIG. 2, ref. 28; wherein the Internet site name is in the form of URL) in an entry of the table (FIG. 2, ref. 28 & 30; wherein the table has name field and a count field) if the Internet site name is not in the table

(FIG. 2, ref. 24); and

(c) counting the number of times the Internet site name has been received (FIG.

2, ref. 30), characterized in that m > n.

Peercy, however, fails to teach that if the Internet site name is new and the table

is full, replace one of the q least frequently used entries according to the value of

the count field of each entry.

Doyle, in an analogous art, teaches replacing an entry in the cache according to

the least recently or least frequently accessed by the user (paragraph [0026], last

couple sentences). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art

at the time of the invention to combine and include Doyle's step of replacing one

of the least frequently accessed entries with Peercy's method of building a table

of most frequently used Internet sites, for the advantage of cost saving. Peercy's

method would save caching space, which in turn would reduce cost. (paragraph

[0026]).

Claim(s) 4, 15: Peercy and Doyle teach the method of claim 3 further comprising

Art Unit: 2141

the step of retrieving r most frequently used Internet site names according to the value of the count field of each entry (col. 4, lines 40-44 Peercy).

- Claim(s) 8: Peercy and Doyle teach the apparatus of claim 6, wherein if the table is full and the Internet site name is not in the table, the processor randomly selects one of the q least frequently used entries for replacement (Peercy and Doyle teach selecting the least frequently used entry of the q least frequently used entries. In a similar fashion, randomly selecting one of the least frequently used entries is well known in the art at the time of the invention, so Official Notice is taken).
- Claim(s) 11: Peercy and Doyle teach the apparatus of claim 10, wherein if the Internet site name is in one of the entries, the processor increments the value of the count field (col. 4, lines 35-37 Peercy).
- Claim(s) 12: Peercy and Doyle teach the apparatus of claim 11, wherein the processor sorts the entries in the table into an order according to the value of the count field of each entry (col. 4, lines 44-47).
- Claim(s) 13: Peercy and Doyle teach the apparatus of claim 12, wherein

Art Unit: 2141

the order is descending, whereby the r most frequently used Internet site names are in the first r entries (col. 4, lines 44-47; wherein the sorted list is in order of most popularity to least popularity).

Claim(s) 14: Peercy and Doyle teach the apparatus of claim 12, wherein the sort is a bubble sort method (Sorting numbers according to the bubble sort is well known in the art at the time of the invention, so Official Notice is taken).

Claims 16-20, 22-31 and 33-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Peercy et al in view of Blitz (US Patent 6,047,293) and Doyle.

Claim(s) 16, 17, 25, 27, 28, 36: Peercy teaches an apparatus for building a table to select r most frequently used Internet site names in a resource delivery site, so that the resource delivery site can cache the corresponding resources from the r most frequently used Internet sites in advance, the apparatus comprising:

a receiver for receiving an Internet site name (col. 2, lines 19-25, and col. 4, lines 35-36; wherein the Internet site name is in the form of a URL); and

a memory for storing the table having n entries where n >= r (FIG. 2, ref. 24), each entry in the table comprising a name field for the Internet site name (FIG. 2, ref. 28) and a count field for counting the number of times the Internet site name is received (FIG. 2, ref. 30)

Art Unit: 2141

Peercy, however, fails to teach a processor for converting the Internet site name into a number and storing the number into an entry in the table comprising a number field for the number, characterized in that if the table is full and the number is not in the table, the processor replaces one of the q least frequently used entries according to the value of the count field of each entry, where q < n.

Blitz, in an analogous art, teaches a processor for converting the names into numbers for quick indexing in a table and searching device names (col. 7, lines 38-40). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Peercy's table with the name "title" field with Blitz's converted numbers in the number field, for the advantage of uniquely indexing the entries in the table for quick search (col. 7, lines 32-34 Blitz).

Doyle, in another analogous art, teaches a processor for determining if the cache is full, the processor replaces an entry in the cache according to the least recently or least frequently accessed by the user (paragraph [0026], last couple sentences). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine and include Doyle's step of replacing one of the least frequently accessed entries with Peercy's method of building a table of most frequently used Internet sites, for the advantage of cost saving. Peercy's

Art Unit: 2141

method would save caching space, which in turn would reduce cost. (paragraph [0026]).

- Claim(s) 18, 29: Peercy, Blitz and Doyle teach the apparatus of claim 16 wherein if the number is in one of the entries, the processor increments the value of the count field (col. 4, lines 35-36 Peercy).
- Claim(s) 19, 30: Peercy, Blitz and Doyle teach the apparatus of claim 16 wherein the processor retrieves the r most frequently used Internet site names from the top r entries according to the value of the count field of each entry (col. 4, lines 40-45 Peercy).
- Claim(s) 20, 31: Peercy, Blitz and Doyle teach the apparatus of claim 16 wherein the processor sorts the entries in the table into an order according to the value of the count field of each entry (col. 4, lines 45-46 Peercy).
- Claim(s) 22, 33: Peercy, Blitz and Doyle teach the apparatus of claim 16 wherein if the number is not in the table and the table is not full, the processor stores the number and the Internet site name in the respective fields of an empty entry (col. 4, lines 30-40; wherein when the database table was first created, the number is not in the table and the table is not full, and the entry was stored in the empty entry).

Art Unit: 2141

- Claim(s) 23, 34: Peercy, Blitz and Doyle teach the apparatus of claim 16 wherein if the number is in an entry and the value of the count field of that entry is greater than a threshold, the processor stores the Internet site name in that entry (col. 4, lines 50-55).
- Claim(s) 24, 35: Peercy, Blitz and Doyle teach the apparatus of claim 16 wherein if the table is full and the number is not in the table, the processor randomly selects one of the q least frequently used entries for replacement (Peercy, Blitz and Doyle teach selecting the least frequently used entry of the q least frequently used entries. In a similar fashion, randomly selecting one of the least frequently used entries is well known in the art at the time of the invention, so Official Notice is taken).
- Claim(s) 26: Peercy, Blitz and Doyle teach the apparatus of claim 16 wherein the table comprises q sub-tables where n>q>1, each sub-table has n/q entries and pointed to by an address ranging from 0 to q-1, the number is searched or stored in the sub-table pointed to by the address produced by taking a modulo operation on the number by q (It is well known in the art at the time of the invention that if one table has too many entries than breaking the entries into several different tables with primary key and foreign key linking the tables would

Art Unit: 2141

add efficiency to searching in database theory. Furthermore, the modulo operation is also well known in the art at the time of the invention), if the sub-table is full and the number is not in the sub-table, the processor replaces one of the bottom n/q entries according to the value of the count field of each entry (paragraph [0026], last couple sentences of Doyle), and retrieves the r most frequently used Internet site names from the top r entries among the q sub-tables according to the value of the count field of each entry (col. 4, lines 40-45 Peercy).

Claims 21 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Peercy in view of Blitz, Doyle, and Jones et al (US Patent 6,134,603).

Claim(s) 21, 32: Peercy, Blitz and Doyle teach the apparatus of claim 16, but fail to teach

the processor uses a hash function for the conversion.

Jones, in an analogous art, teaches a hashing function to associate the hash value to the remote object method. It is converting the hash value to the remote object method. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to combine and include Jones' hashing function with Peercy's apparatus of building a table to associate hash values to an Internet site names, for the advantage of quick and efficient mapping of numbers to names.

Art Unit: 2141

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Tan Lien whose telephone number is (703) 305-6018. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30am to 6pm. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rupal Dharia, can be reached at (703) 305-4003. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-3718.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [tan.lien@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Tan Lien

Paul H. Kang

Patent Examiner

Primary Examiner